



## REVISTA FILOSOFÍA UIS

### GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

#### MISION

*Revista Filosofía UIS* is a biannual publication edited by the Escuela de Filosofía of the Universidad Industrial de Santander. The Journal specializes in the dissemination of philosophical subjects and its purpose is to be a national and international setting for research, reflection and discussion. It is aimed at students, teachers, researchers and those interested in different areas of philosophy.

#### VISION

*Revista Filosofía UIS* will position itself in 2023 as a nationally and internationally recognized journal publication in the dissemination and diffusion of philosophical research, through indexing in the main international databases and indexes to increase visibility and impact to the national and global level with publications of high scientific quality.

#### OPEN-ACCESS POLICY

*Revista Filosofía UIS* has free, open and immediate access to all its content, under the principle of supporting the exchange of global knowledge to the research public. All of their issues are available in PDF and HTML versions to download, read and quote.

#### ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGES (APCs)

*Revista Filosofía UIS* will not require authors to pay the costs of the evaluation process and publication of articles, translations or reviews. Likewise, it does not pay royalties or fees to the authors.

#### EDITORIAL ETHICS

*Revista Filosofía UIS*, as an institutional journal, adheres to the editorial policies approved by the Vice-Rectoría for Research and Extension of the Universidad Industrial de Santander in its document *Consideraciones éticas institucionales para la publicación de artículos científicos*.

#### FOCUS AND SCOPE

*Revista Filosofía UIS* is a biannual publication that specializes in the dissemination of unpublished articles, advances and research results on philosophical topics. The Journal is



open access and can be found in the following indexing and summary services: AmeliCA, Crossref, Dialnet, Fuente Académica Premier (EBSCO), JournalTOCs, Philosopher's Index, REDIB, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), Latindex, Emerging Sources Citation Index de Clarivate Analytics y ROAD.

## TYPES OF TEXTS ACCEPTED

Authors interested in submitting their texts should do so through the *Revista Filosofía UIS'* OJS platform at the link "Make a submission":

<http://revistas.uis.edu.co/index.php/revistafilosofiauis/about/submissions>

It is important to keep in mind that, when an article is sent to the Journal, the author agrees that his work is not being evaluated by another journal and will not be after its publication in the *Revista Filosofía UIS*.

Only unpublished articles are accepted, they should be presented exclusively to the Journal, and they should deal with a topic in any of the areas belonging to the domain of the *Revista Filosofía UIS*. The texts accepted by the Journal for publication are:

### Articles:

Articles in Spanish, Portuguese, English and Italian of the following types are received:

- **Scientific research articles:** these texts should have an introduction, a methodology, results and conclusions.
- **Reflection articles derived from research:** academic essays which present research results from an analytical, interpretative or critical perspective on a specific topic and by the use of original sources.
- **Review articles:** articles that analyze or systematize research results on a specific scientific problem and field. These texts should have a bibliographical review of at least fifty (50) references that account for advances and development trends).

The length of the articles should be between 6000 and 12000 words. However, Editorial Board will analyze each exceptional case.

### Reviews:

We publish reviews in Spanish, English and Italian. They must not be longer than 4000 words.



## **Translations:**

Only translations into Spanish of texts originally written in other languages are received. It is important to be clear that the copyright of the text being translated allows its translation and publication in the Journal. This information must be annexed in the proposal to pass the first review by the Editorial Board.

It is recommended that its length does not exceed 12000 words. The Editorial Board will analyze each exceptional case.

## **Letters to the Editor and Editorials:**

The editor may authorize the publication of communications or letters, with academic interest, that are formally sent to the Journal, as long as they do not have the proper structure of the article.

Similarly, each issue of the Journal will start with an Editorial, which will preferably be written by the editor or by a member of the Editorial Board. Editorial approval is the responsibility of the editor.

## **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

The authors can be teachers (preferably with doctoral studies) or postgraduate students from national or international institutions. The author must have a profile on Google Scholar, Researchgate platform and have an ORCID identification number, so that their work can be published. The colombian's author profile, must also have an updated CvLAC profile.

By sending the text, the author must add completed the *Postulation letter* of the article according to the established format, where is expressly inform that the authors work is not pending any evaluation or publication process, and that it will not be send it to another journal or institution for publication unless *Revista Filosofía UIS* decides not to publish it. Likewise, the author must declare that the work respects the copyright rules and that there is no plagiarism in it.

The information of the authors (full name, highest academic degree attained, institutional affiliation, postal and email address, ORCID number, among others) must be attached in a different document called "Curriculum vitae", according to the established format

Self-citations should be restricted to strictly necessary cases and, when submitting the work, the author must report the existence of self-citations with a view to being eliminated to avoid their identification by the peer evaluators.



It is suggested that the author previously review the digital repository of the articles published by the Journal to identify the texts on the same subject that may contribute to the construction of the state of the art of the issue.

## ARTICLES EVALUATION PROCESS

The proposals for articles and translations received in each call will receive three evaluations. The first evaluation will be on the part of the Editor, advised by the Editorial Assistant and informing the Editorial Committee. Here, the fulfillment with the minimum standards for the presentation of works established by the Journal will be determined. The Editorial Board will inform of this result. Regarding the unpublished nature of the work, use will be made of the technological tools available to the Journal. In the event that the result of this first evaluation is negative, the author will be informed so that, if he wishes, he can make the required changes. If the author does not carry them out within the granted time, the article will be understood as rejected.

The articles approved in the evaluation described above will initiate the evaluation through academic pairs, preferably two (double blind pair). The general rule is that these pairs are chosen from the international academic databases and that they have a publication on the same topic that is subject to evaluation. It is common for one of the pairs to be national and another international. These evaluation reports will focus on the scientific quality, the structure, the argumentation, the use of bibliographic references, the rigor in the handling of the subject, the category of the writing according to the classification of articles mentioned above and the respect for copyright and ethical standards of the Journal.

In the event that there is opposition or a tie in the evaluation of the designated pairs, the editor may directly resolve the controversy or request a member of the Editorial or Scientific Board of the Journal to express his or her opinion on the matter.

The Editor, based on the concepts of academic peers, will give one of three responses to the author: i) Rejected; ii) Accepted with modifications; iii) Accepted without modifications. In all cases, the editor will inform the author of the concept of the academic peers without giving details that allow the identification of the evaluators. The Editor will fix the dates of delivery of the works with the necessary corrections, for the purpose of a new formal and idiomatic evaluation. The Editorial Board will also be informed about the decisions made in this phase.

Once the text has been accepted and delivered with the indicated corrections, the author, through the format of the case, agrees to its publication, not without first assigning the author's economic rights and expressly reiterate that its production is an unpublished material.



The third evaluation will be formal and idiomatic and will be carried out on those works that were approved by the academic peers and will be in charge of the editorial team of the Journal.

Only texts elaborated rigorously according to these guidelines will enter the evaluation process.

## **EVALUATION PROCESS OF EDITORIALS, LETTERS TO EDITOR, REVIEWS AND TRANSLATIONS**

Unlike the evaluation of articles, editorials, letters to the editor, reviews and translations are directly evaluated by the Editorial Committee of the Journal and do not require external peer evaluation.

Similarly, if the concept of the Editorial Board is positive, the text to be published must fully comply with the formal and idiomatic revision prior to its publication.

## **TIMES FOR EDITORIAL PROCESS**

A time of 7 days is estimated, from the receipt of the document on the OJS platform until the result of the first evaluation.

The peer review process is directly dependent on the availability of the reviewers. When the first stage of evaluation has been passed, we proceed to request the evaluation of the document from the peers. The time limit between the request and the response of an evaluator is 10 days. If there is no response during those days, the evaluation request is sent to another pair.

Once the evaluator agrees to review the document, the evaluation form is sent to him. The evaluator has a maximum period of 20 days to make and send your review.

The times for sending and receiving the evaluations are variable, as they are subject to the availability of the evaluators, who carry out an ad honorem review. Sometimes, the peers request to extend the term to carry out the review, for which an additional time of 7 days is granted. In case a longer extension of time is needed, for the peer evaluation, a notification is sent to the author or authors, via email.



In the event that after 3 months from the receipt of the text on the OJS platform, it is not possible to submit it to peer evaluation, the authors are notified, so that they can decide whether or not to continue with the editorial process.

After receiving the two evaluations, the case will be included in the next Editorial Committee meeting, for the corresponding consideration. Editorial decisions will be notified on the business day following the Committee. In the event of suggestions for changes or adjustments, the authors will have a maximum period of 15 days; in the case of requiring additional time, the authors must request in writing the extension of the term, which may not be longer than 30 days. When the term is exceeded, the text will be incorporated in the edition of the next volume.

After receiving the corrected version of the text, along with the required documentation (application letter and resume of the author), the editing process begins. The editing stage will have the necessary duration to perform a second anti-plagiarism check through Turnitin, the adaptation of the text to the format of the edition and the spelling and style correction. The document is prepared with the necessary comments to resolve editing adjustments. The correction time is subject to the amount and degree of complexity of the corrections, as well as the speed of response of the authors.

Once the requested adjustments are received, the layout process of the digital version of the document will begin, which the author may review, in a time no longer than 5 days, before it is published. After the author's approval, the contribution is published in the digital version. The time elapsed from the publication of the digital version to the printing of the paper version depends entirely on the management of the publications department of the Industrial University of Santander.

## FORMAL STRUCTURE

- Texts must be shared as Word files (.doc or .docx), double line, letter size, 2.54 each margin and *Times New Roman* font size 12 (pt.).
- The texts should be structured according to the grammatical, orthographic and syntactic rules of the language in which they are presented. The Editorial Board may suggest stylistic and formal corrections.
- Terms and expressions in a different language should be italicized.
- The articles must have a title, abstract and keywords in the source language. Articles written in English or other language must add an abstract in Spanish.



- The title must be in accordance with the subject matter and must have a corresponding translation into Spanish (if the article is not written in this language) and English.
- Submissions should include an analytical summary of approximately six (6) lines and five (5) keywords in the original language with their respective translation into English and Spanish.
- The summary of the articles should meet the characteristics of the analytical summary, since it must present the synthesis of concepts, approaches, proposals or ideas, according to the structural organization of the original text and highlighting its essential elements.
- The information of the author or authors cannot exceed three (3) lines and must include a brief biographical summary as follows: full name, nationality, most relevant academic training, institutional affiliation, academic position and electronic mail. This information must be presented in a different document than the article, to avoid peer identification of the author.
- The numbered list of the article must be numerical (1., 1.1., 1.2., 2 ...).
- The information in the text will be limited to its typology. However, if it is a scientific research article, the name of the group and project to which it is related must be indicated; as well as the status of the project (in progress, ended, etc.), funding institution and entity that supports the manuscript.
- The length of the articles should be between 6000 and 12000 words, and reviews must not be longer than 4000 words. The tables and images are not part of the extension of the text presented. Exceptional cases may be resolved by the Editorial Board.
- If the article contains Word or Excel tables, they can be inserted in the text preserving these formats. The tables should not be inserted as an image, since in this case they cannot be modified and at the time of printing their quality decreases.
- Figures, illustrations, diagrams, maps, photographs, images, etc., must be sent as image files (jpg, tiff or gif) in a minimum resolution of 300 dpi. It is important that the author has the copyright of the material that he wishes to add to his text. This information must be shared as an attachment in the submission of the proposal.
- The equations and mathematical functions should be added as data from the Word equation editor, but not as pasted images, this to guarantee the handling and quality of the print.



- Comply with the requirements for the publication of the article and its contents are the complete responsibility of the author.

## SYSTEM OF QUOTES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

The system of citations and bibliographical references used in the journal *Revista Filosofía UIS* is the one presented by the American Psychology Association-APA- in its *APA Style Citation 7th edition*. For more information, visit [www.apastyle.org](http://www.apastyle.org)

### Citations

APA style recommends including citations in the text by using references in parentheses.

- There are two forms of citation: narrative and parenthetical.
- In the narrative citation form the authors are part of the statement. For example:

Becker (2013) said: ... (p. 17).

Becker (2013) said: ... (p. 17-21).

- In the parenthetical citation form the authors are not mentioned in the statement, but only the content of their work, at the end the quote is written in parentheses. For example:

(Becker, 2013, p. 17).

(Becker, 2013, pp. 17-21).

- Direct quotation: they will be made in the body of the text and must be in quotation marks as long as they do not exceed forty (40) words. Quotations of more than forty (40) words in length should be written in a row, line spacing 1.5, justified alignment, with less margins than the main text, without quotation marks and *Times New Roman* font size 11 (pt.).

- It is important to note that if a specific idea or text is cited, the page or pages where they are located should be indicated.

- The aforementioned guidelines will be applied in the same way in the case of quotes taken from the Internet.

- In APA the inclusion of footnotes is only possible when clarification of a section of the main text is necessary.





## References

The list of references complies only with the sources cited in the article.

This section goes to the end of the text and preceded by the title **References**.

References to more than one work by the same author must be ordered by date, from oldest to most recent.

**Note:** when two works from the same year and by the same author are referenced, the hierarchy with letters must be included after the year in alphabetical order. For example

Habermas, J. (2004a). *La lógica de las ciencias sociales*.

Habermas, J. (2004b). *Más allá del Estado Nacional*.

## 1. Books

Last name, N. (Year). *Book title in italics*. Publisher name.

Last name, N. (Year). *Book title in italics*. (X<sup>a</sup> ed., Vol. X). Publisher name.

### 1.1 Electronic Book

Last name, N. (Year). *Book title in italics*. Publisher name. <https://doi.org/>

Last name, N. (Year). *Book title in italics*. Publisher name. [www ...](http://www...)

### 1.2 Books with translator

Last name, N. (Year). *Book title in italics*. (N. Last name, trans.). Publisher name.

### 1.3 Book with editor or compiler

Comp./Comps. o Ed./Eds. (As appropriate)

Last name, N. (Year). Book title. En N. Last name (Ed.). Publisher name.

Last name, N. (Year). Book title. En N. Last name (Comp.). Publisher name.



## 2. Book chapter with editor or compiler

Last name, A. y Last name, B. (Year). Chapter title. In N. Last name (Ed.), *Book title* (pp. xx-xx). Publisher name.

Last name, A. y Last name, B. (Year). Chapter title. In N. Last name (Comp.), *Book title* (pp. xx-xx). Publisher name.

### 2.1 Electronic book chapter

Last name, N. (Year). Chapter title. In *Book title in italics* (pp. xx-xx). Publisher name. <https://doi.org/...>

Last name, N. (Year). Chapter title. In *Book title in italics* (pp. xx-xx). Publisher name. [www...](http://www...)

## 3. Serial publication (journal or newspaper)

### 3.1 Journal articles:

Last name, N. (Year). Name of the paper. *Journal name in italics*, volume(number), page range. <https://doi.org/...>

### 3.2 Printed newspaper articles:

#### With author:

Last name A. (Day of the month of the year). Article title. *Name of the newspaper*, pp.-pp.

#### Without author:

*Name of the newspaper* (Day of the month of the year). Article title, pp -pp.

### 3.3 Online newspaper articles:

#### With author:

Last name, N. (Day of Month of year). Article title. *Newspaper name*. <http://www...>

#### Without author:

Article's title. (Date). *Newspaper name*. <http://www...>



## 4. Thesis

### In physics:

Last name, First name. (Year). *Thesis name*. [Undergraduate, master's degree or PhD Thesis]. University, City, Country.

### Online:

Author, A. (Year). *Thesis title*. [Undergraduate, master's degree or PhD Thesis]. <http://www...>