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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title of the evaluated text** |  |

1. **To which audience is the text addressed?** (Choose only one item).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Specialists in the specific thematic |  |
| Philosophy students and professors |  |
| Humanities students and professors in general |  |
| General audience |  |

1. **In which typology is the text classified?** Please adhere to the general classification criteria set out below. X mark only one option

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Scientific research articles** (these texts should have an introduction, a methodology, results and conclusions) |  |
| **Reflection articles derived from research** (academic essays which present research results from an analytical, interpretative or critical perspective on a specific topic and by using of original sources) |  |
| **Review articles:** (articles which analyze or systematize research results on a specific scientific problem and field. These texts should have a bibliographical review of at least fifty (50) references that account for advances and development trends) |  |
| **Book review** |  |
| **Traslation** |  |
| **Other** (explain which one) |  |

**3 What are the conditions of the text in relation to the following points?**

|  |
| --- |
| **Importance and relevance of the topic for publication in the Journal Filosofía UIS (The Journal focuses on the field of philosophy)** |
|  |
| **Scope of the subject in terms of its originality** |
|  |
| **Theoretical and methodological scopes, philosophical research** |
|  |
| **Text structure and composition** |
|  |
| **Quality of information.**  |
|  |
| **Sources validity and relevance.** |
|  |

**4. Does the text comply with the copyright standards accepted by the academic community and with the ethical standards of the Journal?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Yes** |  |
| **No** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **If your answer is negative, explain the reasons:** |
|  |

**5. In your opinion, and in relation to the above, what should be done with the text?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Publish without adjustments** |  |
| **Publish as long as adjustments are made** |  |
| **Reject** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **If you answer “Publish as long as adjustments are made”, what are the necessary modifications?** |
|  |

**REVIEWER’S INFORMATION**

Dear evaluator, this information is for the exclusive use of the editorial team of the Journal Filosofía UIS. Our evaluation process is double blind, so none of your data will be shared with the author of the contribution.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Reviewer’s names and surname:**  |  |
| **Identification Document: (Identification card or passport)** |  |
| **Institutional affiliation (name and address of the institution, dependency, sub-dependency and position to you belong)** |  |
| **Email (personal and institutional)** |  |
| **Academic Profile** (add relevant information for identification as a researcher, for example, links to ORCID, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, CvLac or others) |  |