



Determinant Inequalities for Positive Definite Matrices Via Additive and Multiplicative Young Inequalities

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR ,

Victoria University, Mathematics, College of Engineering and Science, Melbourne,
Australia.

Abstract. In this paper we prove among others that, if the positive definite matrices A, B of order n satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, where I_n is the identity matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(B)]^{-1} - [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Keywords: Positive definite matrices, Determinants, Inequalities.

MSC2010: 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Desigualdades determinantes para matrices definidas positivas a través de desigualdades young aditivas y multiplicativas

Resumen. En este trabajo demostramos entre otros que, si las matrices definidas positivas A, B de orden n satisfacen la condición

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

E-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

Received: 22 November 2022, Accepted: 7 December 2022.

To cite this article: S. S. Dragomir, Determinant Inequalities for Positive Definite Matrices Via Additive and Multiplicative Young Inequalities, *Rev. Integr. Temas Mat.*, 40 (2022), No. 2, 193–206. doi: 10.18273/revint.v40n2-2022004

para algunas constantes $0 < m < M$, donde I_n es la matriz identidad, entonces

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(A+mI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A+mtI_n)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(B)]^{-1} - [\det((1-t)A+tB)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(A+MI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A+MtI_n)]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

para todo $t \in [0, 1]$.

Palabras clave: Matrices definidas positivas, Determinantes, Desigualdades.

1. Introduction

A real square matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is *symmetric* provided $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A real symmetric matrix is said to be *positive definite* provided the quadratic form $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j$ is positive for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that a necessary and sufficient condition for the symmetric matrix A to be positive definite, and we write $A > 0$, is that all determinants

$$\det(A_k) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k; \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

are positive.

It is known that the following integral representation is valid, see [1, pp. 61-62] or [11, pp. 211-212],

$$J_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{[\det(A)]^{1/2}}, \quad (1)$$

where A is a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

By utilizing the representation (1) and Hölder's integral inequality for multiple integrals one can prove the *logarithmic concavity* of the determinant that is due to Ky Fan ([1, pp. 63] or [11, pp. 212]), namely

$$\det((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \geq [\det(A)]^{1-\lambda} [\det(B)]^\lambda \quad (2)$$

for any positive definite matrices A, B and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By mathematical induction we can get a generalization of (2) which was obtained by L. Mirsky in [10], see also [11, pp. 212]

$$\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j \right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j}, \quad m \geq 2, \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

If we write (3) for $A_j = B_j^{-1}$ we get

$$\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j B_j^{-1} \right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j^{-1})]^{\lambda_j} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j)]^{\lambda_j} \right)^{-1},$$

which also gives

$$\prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j} \geq \det \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the representation (1) one can also prove the result, see [11, pp. 212],

$$\det(A) = \det(A_{1n}) \leq \det(A_{1k}) \det(A_{(k+1)n}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \quad (5)$$

where the determinant $\det(A_{rs})$ is defined by

$$\det(A_{rs}) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = r, \dots, s.$$

In particular,

$$\det(A) \leq a_{11}a_{22}\dots a_{nn}. \quad (6)$$

We recall also the Minkowski's type inequality,

$$[\det(A + B)]^{1/n} \geq [\det(A)]^{1/n} + [\det(B)]^{1/n} \quad (7)$$

for A, B positive definite matrices of order n . For other determinant inequalities see Chapter VIII of the classic book [11]. For some recent results see [3]-[6].

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we prove among others that, if the positive definite matrices A, B of order n satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, where I_n is the identity matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(B)]^{-1} - [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

2. Additive Inequalities

We consider the function $f_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined for $t \in (0, 1)$ by

$$f_t(u) = 1 - t + tu - u^t. \quad (8)$$

The following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.1. For $0 \leq k < K$ we have

$$\max_{u \in [k, K]} f_t(u) = \Delta_t(k, K) := \begin{cases} f_t(k), & \text{if } K < 1; \\ \max\{f_t(k), f_t(K)\}, & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K; \\ f_t(K), & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\min_{u \in [k, K]} f_t(u) = \delta_t(k, K) := \begin{cases} f_t(K), & \text{if } K < 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K; \\ f_t(k), & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Proof. The function f_t is differentiable and

$$f'_t(u) = t(1 - u^{t-1}) = t \frac{u^{1-t} - 1}{u^{1-t}},$$

which shows that the function f_t is decreasing on $[0, 1]$ and increasing on $[1, \infty)$, $f_t(0) = 1 - t$, $f_t(1) = 0$ and the equation $f_t(u) = 1 - t$ for $u > 0$ has the unique solution $u_t = t^{\frac{1}{t-1}} > 1$.

Therefore, by considering the 3 possible situations for the location of the interval $[k, K]$ and the number 1 we get the desired bounds (9) and (10). \square

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $a, b > 0$ with $0 < k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K$, then

$$0 \leq \delta_t(k, K)a \leq (1 - t)a + tb - b^ta^{1-t} \leq \Delta_t(k, K)a. \quad (11)$$

Proof. If $u \in [k, K]$, then by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\delta_t(k, K) \leq 1 - t + tu - u^t \leq \Delta_t(k, K). \quad (12)$$

If we take $u = \frac{b}{a}$ in (12), then we get

$$\delta_t(k, K) \leq 1 - t + t \frac{b}{a} - \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t \leq \Delta_t(k, K),$$

and by multiplying with a we obtain the desired result (11). \square

Theorem 2.3. Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n, \quad (13)$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1 - t)[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t[\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2} - [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq (1 - t)[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t[\det(B)]^{-1/2} - [\det((1 - t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq (1 - t)[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t[\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2} - [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
&\quad - \frac{[\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
&\quad - \frac{[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
&\quad - \frac{[\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2}}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$ and $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\frac{b}{a} = \exp(-\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle)$ and since $0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n$, hence for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$-M\|x\|^2 \leq -\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle \leq -m\|x\|^2$$

which gives that

$$\exp(-M\|x\|^2) \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq \exp(-m\|x\|^2) < 1.$$

If we apply the inequality (11) for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$, $k = \exp(-M\|x\|^2)$ and $K = \exp(-m\|x\|^2) < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq f_t \left(\exp(-m\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\
&\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \\
&\quad - \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) \\
&\leq f_t \left(\exp(-M\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle),
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \left(1 - t + t \exp(-m\|x\|^2) - \exp(-mt\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\
&\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) - \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) \\
&\leq \left(1 - t + t \exp(-M\|x\|^2) - \exp(-Mt\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle (A + mI_n)x, x \rangle) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\langle (A + mtI_n)x, x \rangle) \\ &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) \\ &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle (A + MI_n)x, x \rangle) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\langle (A + MtI_n)x, x \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the integral over $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + mI_n)x, x \rangle) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + mtI_n)x, x \rangle) dx \\ &\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) dx \\ &\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + MI_n)x, x \rangle) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + MtI_n)x, x \rangle) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

By using the representation (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t) J_n(A) + t J_n(A + mI_n) - J_n(A + mtI_n) \\ &\leq (1-t) J_n(A) + t J_n(B) - J_n((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq (1-t) J_n(A) + t J_n(A + MI_n) - J_n(A + MtI_n), \end{aligned}$$

which, by the second equality in (1) gives (14).

If we replace t with $1-t$ in (14), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq t [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + (1-t) [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2} \tag{17} \\ &\quad - [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq t [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + (1-t) [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \\ &\quad - [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq t [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + (1-t) [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2} \\ &\quad - [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we add (14) with (17) and divide by 2, then we get (15). \(\checkmark\)

Corollary 2.4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The proof follows by taking the integral over $t \in [0, 1]$ in (14).

If we take the square in the representation (1), then we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle x, Ax \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right)^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \exp(-\langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$K_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)} \quad (19)$$

for A a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

We have:

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition (13) for some constants $0 < m < M$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(B)]^{-1} - [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1}}{2} \\
&\quad - \frac{[\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1}}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}}{2} \\
&\quad - \frac{[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1}}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1}}{2} \\
&\quad - \frac{[\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1}}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle)$ and $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\frac{b}{a} = \exp(-\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle - \langle (B-A)y, y \rangle)$$

and since $0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n$, hence for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \leq -\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle - \langle (B-A)y, y \rangle \leq -m(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2),$$

which implies that

$$\exp(-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq \exp(-m(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) < 1.$$

If we apply the inequality (11) for

$$a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle), \quad b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle),$$

$k = \exp(-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2))$ and $K = \exp(-m(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq f_t \left(\exp \left(-m(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \right) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) \\
&\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle) \\
&\quad - \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle - \langle ((1-t)A + tB)y, y \rangle) \\
&\leq f_t \left(\exp \left(-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \right) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle),
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) \\
&+ t \exp(-\langle(A+mI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+mI_n)y, y\rangle) \\
&- \exp(-\langle(A+mtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+mtI_n)y, y\rangle) \\
&\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x\rangle - \langle By, y\rangle) \\
&- \exp(-\langle((1-t)A+tB)x, x\rangle - \langle((1-t)A+tB)y, xy\rangle) \\
&\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) \\
&+ t \exp(-\langle(A+MI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+MI_n)y, y\rangle) \\
&- \exp(-\langle(A+MtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+MtI_n)y, y\rangle),
\end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the double integral over $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) dx dy \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle(A+mI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+mI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle(A+mtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+mtI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy \\
&\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) dx dy \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Bx, x\rangle - \langle By, y\rangle) dx dy \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle((1-t)A+tB)x, x\rangle - \langle((1-t)A+tB)y, xy\rangle) dx dy \\
&\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) dx dy \\
&+ t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle(A+MI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+MI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle(A+MtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A+MtI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy,
\end{aligned}$$

and by making use of the representation (19). \(\checkmark\)

Corollary 2.6. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A+mI_n)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A+mtI_n)]^{-1} dt \quad (22) \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A+tB)]^{-1} dt \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A+MI_n)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A+MtI_n)]^{-1} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by taking the integral over $t \in [0, 1]$ in (20).

3. Multiplicative Inequalities

We consider the function $g_t : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined for $t \in (0, 1)$ by

$$1 \leq g_t(u) = \frac{1-t+tu}{u^t} = (1-t)u^{-t} + tu^{1-t}. \quad (23)$$

For $[k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$ define the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_t(k, K) &= \begin{cases} g_t(k), & \text{if } K < 1; \\ \max\{g_t(k), g_t(K)\}, & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K; \\ g_t(K), & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (1-t)k^{-t} + tk^{1-t}, & \text{if } K < 1; \\ \max\{(1-t)k^{-t} + tk^{1-t}, (1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t}\}, & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K; \\ (1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t}, & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t(k, K) &= \begin{cases} g_t(K), & \text{if } K < 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K; \\ g_t(k), & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t}, & \text{if } K < 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K; \\ (1-t)k^{-t} + tk^{1-t}, & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.1. *For $0 \leq k < K$ we have*

$$\max_{u \in [k, K]} g_t(u) = \Gamma_t(k, K)$$

and

$$\min_{u \in [k, K]} g_t(u) = \gamma_t(k, K).$$

Proof. The function g_t is differentiable and

$$g'_t(u) = (1-t)tu^{-t-1}(u-1),$$

which shows that the function g_t is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $[1, \infty)$. We have $g_t(1) = 1$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} g_t(u) = +\infty$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} g_t(u) = +\infty$ and $g_t(\frac{1}{u}) = g_{1-t}(u)$ for any $u > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$.

Therefore, by considering the 3 possible situations for the location of the interval $[k, K]$ and the number 1 we get the desired bounds (11) and (12). \checkmark

Lemma 3.2. Assume that $a, b > 0$ with $0 < k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K$, then

$$\gamma_t(k, K) a^{1-t} b^t \leq (1-t)a + tb \leq \Gamma_t(k, K) a^{1-t} b^t. \quad (26)$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\gamma_t(k, K) \leq \frac{1-t+t\frac{b}{a}}{\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t} \leq \Gamma_t(k, K),$$

namely

$$\gamma_t(k, K) \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t \leq 1-t+t\frac{b}{a} \leq \Gamma_t(k, K) \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t.$$

If we multiply these inequalities by a , then we get (26). \checkmark

Theorem 3.3. Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A,$$

for some constant $0 < m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) [\det((1-t)A + tB - tmI_n)]^{-1/2} \\ & + t [\det((1-t)A + tB + (1-t)mI_n)]^{-1/2} \\ & \leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, for $t = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2} - \frac{m}{2}I_n\right) \right]^{-1/2} + \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2} + \frac{m}{2}I_n\right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ & \leq [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Proof. If $0 < k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K < 1$, then by (26) we get

$$g_t(K) a^{1-t} b^t \leq (1-t)a + tb$$

namely

$$[(1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t}] a^{1-t} b^t \leq (1-t)a + tb \quad (29)$$

If we apply the inequality (29) for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$ and $K = \exp(-m\|x\|^2) < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1-t)\exp(tm\|x\|^2) + t\exp(-m(1-t)\|x\|^2)] \\ & \times \exp(-\langle(1-t)Ax, x\rangle - \langle tBx, x\rangle) \\ & \leq (1-t)\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle) + t\exp(-\langle Bx, x\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \exp \left(tm \|x\|^2 - \langle (1-t) Ax, x \rangle - \langle tBx, x \rangle \right) \\ & + t \exp \left(-m(1-t) \|x\|^2 - \langle (1-t) Ax, x \rangle - \langle tBx, x \rangle \right) \\ & \leq (1-t) \exp (-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp (-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \exp (-\langle ((1-t) A + tB - tmI_n) x, x \rangle) \\ & + t \exp (-\langle ((1-t) A + tB + (1-t)mI_n) x, x \rangle) \\ & \leq (1-t) \exp (-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp (-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Observe that

$$(1-t) A + tB - tmI_n = A + t(B-A) - tmI_n \geq A + tmI_n - tmI_n = A > 0$$

and

$$(1-t) A + tB + (1-t)mI_n > 0.$$

By taking the integral on \mathbb{R}^n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp (-\langle ((1-t) A + tB - tmI_n) x, x \rangle) dx \\ & + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp (-\langle ((1-t) A + tB + (1-t)mI_n) x, x \rangle) dx \\ & \leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp (-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp (-\langle Bx, x \rangle) dx, \end{aligned}$$

namely, by (1)

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) J_n ((1-t) A + tB - tmI_n) + t J_n ((1-t) A + tB + (1-t)mI_n) \\ & \leq (1-t) J_n (A) + t J_n (B), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (27). ✓

By utilizing a similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 2.5 we can finally state:

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition*

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A,$$

for some constant $0 < m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) [\det ((1-t) A + tB - tmI_n)]^{-1} \\ & + t [\det ((1-t) A + tB + (1-t)mI_n)]^{-1} \\ & \leq (1-t) [\det (A)]^{-1} + t [\det (B)]^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, for $t = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} - \frac{m}{2} I_n \right) \right]^{-1} + \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} + \frac{m}{2} I_n \right) \right]^{-1} \\ & \leq [\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

A complex square matrix $H = (h_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is said to be Hermitian provided $h_{ij} = \overline{h_{ji}}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A Hermitian matrix is said to be positive definite if the Hermitian form $P(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i \overline{z_j}$ is positive for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

It is known that, see for instance [11, pp. 215], for a positive definite Hermitian matrix H , we have

$$K_n(H) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle \bar{z}, Hz \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(H)}, \quad (32)$$

where $z = x + iy$ and dx and dy denote integration over real n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n . Here the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ is understood in the real sense, i.e. $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k$.

On making use of a similar argument to the one in Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 3.4 for the representation $K_n(\cdot)$ we can state the same inequalities for positive definite Hermitian matrices H and K .

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions that have been implemented in the final version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Beckenbach E.F., and Bellman R., *Inequalities*, Springer, 1st ed., Heidelberg, 1961.
- [2] Bhatia R., "Interpolating the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality and its operator version", *Alg. Appl.*, 413 (2006) 355-363. doi 10.1016/j.laa.2005.03.005
- [3] Ito M., "Estimations of the weighted power mean by the Heron mean and related inequalities for determinants and traces". *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 22 (2019), no. 3, 949-966. doi: 10.7153/mia-2019-22-64
- [4] Kittaneh F., and Manasrah Y., "Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 361 (2010), No. 1, 262-269. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.08.059
- [5] Kittaneh F., and Manasrah Y., "Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices", *Lin. Multilin. Alg.*, 59 (2011), 1031-1037. doi: 10.1080/03081087.2010.551661
- [6] Li Y., Yongtao L., Huang Feng Z., and Liu W., "Inequalities regarding partial trace and partial determinant". *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 23 (2020), no. 2, 477-485. doi: 10.7153/mia-2020-23-39
- [7] Lin M., and Sinnamon G., "Revisiting a sharpened version of Hadamard's determinant inequality". *Linear Algebra Appl.* 606 (2020), 192-200. doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2020.07.032
- [8] Liu J.-T., Wang Q.-W., and Sun F.-F., "Determinant inequalities for Hadamard product of positive definite matrices". *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 20 (2017), no. 2, 537-542. Doy10.7153/mia-20-36

- [9] Luo W., "Further extensions of Hartfiel's determinant inequality to multiple matrices". *Spec. Matrices* 9 (2021), 78-82. doi: 10.1515/spma-2020-0125
- [10] Mirsky L., "An inequality for positive definite matrices", *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 62 (1955), 428-430. doi: 10.1016/S0024-3795(97)80048-1
- [11] Mitrović D.S., Pečarić J.E., and Fink A.M., *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.